

Schedule F										
Revised List of Other Creditors on 06.05.2025										
(Amount in ₹)										
Sl. No	Name of creditor	Details of claim received			Details of claim admitted			Pending for Verification	Claim rejected	Claim rejection remarks
		Principal Amount claimed	Interest claimed	Total amount claimed	Principal amount admitted	Interest amount admitted	Total claim admitted			
1	L.K. Sudhish	17,02,16,062.00	-	17,02,16,062.00	39,08,51,582.20	-	39,08,51,582.20		30,75,09,727.80	A Business Agreement was executed between the Land Owner & the Developer on 14.12.2020. Based on the Business Agreement, an amount of Rs. 41,94,93,972/- was payable to the Land Owners. Subsequent to the execution of Business Agreements an amount of Rs. 16966137.8/- was paid to Mr. Sudhish and Rs. 11676252/- was paid to Mrs. Poornajothi from the Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd escrow account. Hence after deducting the payments made in pursuance to the execution of Business agreement, the claim amount for the Land Owner's Group has been admitted at Rs. 39,08,51,582.20/-. Since the Joint Development Agreement (JDA) between the Land Owners and Developer was executed jointly by Mr. Sudhish & Mrs. Poornajothi, the claim amount for the Land Owner's group has been admitted as a whole without bifurcation between the individual claimants.
2	S. Poornajothi	26,31,19,608.00	-	26,31,19,608.00						
3	S. Keerthana	13,32,20,230.00	-	13,32,20,230.00						
4	S. Jannu Shree	13,18,05,410.00	-	13,18,05,410.00						
5	Vijay Kumar (HUF)	5,00,00,000.00	4,69,00,000.00	9,69,00,000.00	85,00,000.00	-	85,00,000.00		8,84,00,000.00	The claimant has entered into an MOU for investment of Rs. 5 Crores in a Project to be developed by the Corporate Debtor jointly with 4 other parties. However, the Post-Dated Cheque of Rs. 9.69 Crores and the Payment confirmation of Rs. 5 Crores was issued by one of parties to the MOU being Lokaa Housing Pvt Ltd. Further, based on the Bank statement furnished by the claimant, only an amount of Rs. 85 Lakhs was transferred to the Corporate Debtor. Hence the claim amount is restricted to Rs. 85 Lakhs. In the absence of any interest clause in the Investor MOU, the interest portion has been rejected in entirety.

Schedule F										
Revised List of Other Creditors on 06.05.2025										
(Amount in ₹)										
Sl. No	Name of creditor	Details of claim received			Details of claim admitted			Pending for Verification	Claim rejected	Claim rejection remarks
		Principal Amount claimed	Interest claimed	Total amount claimed	Principal amount admitted	Interest amount admitted	Total claim admitted			
6	Babulal Ranka	75,00,000.00	54,00,000.00	1,29,00,000.00	-	-	-		1,29,00,000.00	The Loan amount has been given to the Principal Borrower, Mr. P. Santosh Sharma. The claimant has mentioned that a Corporate Guarantee was issued by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd for the loan borrowed by Mr. Santosh Sharma. However, the Corporate Guarantee submitted by the Claimant is incomplete in many aspects and not in a proper form. It is a mere Promissory note with the words "Corporate Guarantee issued on" written at the bottom of the Promissory note. Infact the Promissory note is dated 11.10.2018 & 14.10.2018 and the Corporate Guarantee execution date is mentioned as 01.02.2021. Further, there is no Board Resolution passed by the Corporate Debtor for the Corporate Guarantee issued towards the loan borrowed by its Directors. Considering all the aforesaid factors, the claim has been rejected in entirety.
7	Sarla Ranka	25,00,000.00	18,00,000.00	43,00,000.00	-	-	-		43,00,000.00	The Loan amount has been given to the Principal Borrower, Mr. P. Santosh Sharma. The claimant has mentioned that a Corporate Guarantee was issued by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd for the loan borrowed by Mr. Santosh Sharma. However, the Corporate Guarantee submitted by the Claimant is incomplete in many aspects and not in a proper form. It is a mere Promissory note with the words "Corporate Guarantee issued on" written at the bottom of the Promissory note. Infact the Promissory note is dated 12.10.2018 and the Corporate Guarantee execution date is mentioned as 01.02.2021. Further, there is no Board Resolution passed by the Corporate Debtor for the Corporate Guarantee issued towards the loan borrowed by its Directors. Considering all the aforesaid factors, the claim has been rejected in entirety.

Schedule F										
Revised List of Other Creditors on 06.05.2025										
(Amount in ₹)										
Sl. No	Name of creditor	Details of claim received			Details of claim admitted			Pending for Verification	Claim rejected	Claim rejection remarks
		Principal Amount claimed	Interest claimed	Total amount claimed	Principal amount admitted	Interest amount admitted	Total claim admitted			
8	Trust Weld	25,00,000.00	18,00,000.00	43,00,000.00	-	-	-		43,00,000.00	The Loan amount has been given to the Principal Borrower, Mr. P. Santosh Sharma. The claimant has mentioned that a Corporate Guarantee was issued by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd for the loan borrowed by Mr. Santosh Sharma. However, the Corporate Guarantee submitted by the Claimant is incomplete in many aspects and not in a proper form. It is a mere Promissory note with the words "Corporate Guarantee issued on" written at the bottom of the Promissory note. Infact the Promissory note is dated 04.05.2018 and the Corporate Guarantee execution date is mentioned as 01.02.2021. Further, there is no Board Resolution passed by the Corporate Debtor for the Corporate Guarantee issued towards the loan borrowed by its Directors. Considering all the aforesaid factors, the claim has been rejected in entirety.
9	Kalpesh Sobhagmal	13,94,245.00	6,94,229.00	20,88,474.00	13,18,060.00	-	13,18,060.00		7,70,414.00	The Claimant has submitted a claim in Form CA as a Home Buyer for 4 Flats in Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd. On verification of the records of the Corporate Debtor, it appears that the claimant has paid an amount of Rs. 50 Lakhs to the Corporate Debtor. However the claimant has not been treated as Homebuyer by the CD. Also these flats claimed by the claimant are already allotted to other homebuyers. Since the amount of Rs. 50 Lakhs was received by the Corporate Debtor, the claim is admitted under the Category of Other Creditors
10	Kalpesh Sobhagmal	13,94,245.00	6,94,229.00	20,88,474.00	13,18,060.00	-	13,18,060.00		7,70,414.00	
11	Kalpesh Sobhagmal	13,94,245.00	6,94,229.00	20,88,474.00	13,18,060.00	-	13,18,060.00		7,70,414.00	
12	Kalpesh Sobhagmal	11,04,845.00	5,50,134.00	16,54,979.00	10,45,820.00	-	10,45,820.00		6,09,159.00	
13	Dilip Shah	6,68,990.00	4,29,986.00	10,98,976.00	6,01,938.00	-	6,01,938.00		4,97,038.00	The Claimant has submitted a claim in Form CA as a Home Buyer for 6 Flats in Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd. On verification of the records of the Corporate Debtor, it appears that the claimant has paid an amount of Rs. 35 Lakhs to the Corporate Debtor. However the claimant has not been treated as
14	Dilip Shah	6,68,990.00	4,29,986.00	10,98,976.00	6,01,938.00	-	6,01,938.00		4,97,038.00	
15	Dilip Shah	7,52,644.00	4,83,754.00	12,36,398.00	6,79,103.00	-	6,79,103.00		5,57,295.00	

Schedule F										
Revised List of Other Creditors on 06.05.2025										
(Amount in ₹)										
Sl. No	Name of creditor	Details of claim received			Details of claim admitted			Pending for Verification	Claim rejected	Claim rejection remarks
		Principal Amount claimed	Interest claimed	Total amount claimed	Principal amount admitted	Interest amount admitted	Total claim admitted			
16	Dilip Shah	6,68,990.00	4,29,986.00	10,98,976.00	6,01,938.00	-	6,01,938.00		4,97,038.00	The Claimant has not been treated as Homebuyer by the CD. Also these flats claimed by the claimant are already allotted to other homebuyers. Since the amount of Rs. 35 Lakhs was received by the Corporate Debtor, the claim is admitted under the Category of Other Creditors
17	Dilip Shah	6,25,093.00	4,01,772.00	10,26,865.00	5,62,898.00	-	5,62,898.00		4,63,967.00	
18	Dilip Shah	5,00,605.00	3,21,759.00	8,22,364.00	4,52,185.00	-	4,52,185.00		3,70,179.00	
19	Paritosh Electricals Pvt Ltd	1,00,00,000.00	45,01,438.00	1,45,01,438.00	-	-	-		1,45,01,438.00	The Claimant has submitted a claim in Form CA as a Home Buyer for a Flat in Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd. However, verification of the Books of accounts and other records of the Corporate Debtor, it appears that the claimant has provided investment of Rs. 1 Crore to Lokaa Housing Pvt Ltd against which a Flat in Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd was provided as a Security. Further, the Promoter of the Corporate Debtor has also confirmed that the claimant is a investor of Lokaa Housing Pvt ltd and this flat is already allotted to another Home Buyer. Further, the amount was also not received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd. Hence the claim of the claimant is rejected in entirety.
20	Pitchika Mohan Muralidhar	1,78,44,060.00	40,86,364.00	2,19,30,424.00	1,78,44,060.00	-	1,78,44,060.00		40,86,364.00	Based on the Agreement dated 07.12.2018 executed between the claimant and Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd, it appears that the Claimant had purchased the Flat not as a Homebuyer but has agreed to invest in the said project, subject to the Developer assuring him/them the guaranteed buyback in respect of the Apartment bearing No. 2109. Hence a claimant with the buy-back agreement can only be treated as an Investor and not as a Homebuyer. However, since investment was received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd, the claim is admitted in the category of Other Creditors.

Schedule F										
Revised List of Other Creditors on 06.05.2025										
(Amount in ₹)										
Sl. No	Name of creditor	Details of claim received			Details of claim admitted			Pending for Verification	Claim rejected	Claim rejection remarks
		Principal Amount claimed	Interest claimed	Total amount claimed	Principal amount admitted	Interest amount admitted	Total claim admitted			
21	Shankar Manoharan	75,30,496.00	33,92,499.67	1,09,22,995.67	53,58,467.00	-	53,58,467.00		55,64,528.67	Based on the records available with the CD, it appears that the claimant is covered under the buyback scheme, wherein the Developer had assured the claimant a guaranteed buyback with some profit %. in respect of Flat 506. Hence a claimant with the buy-back agreement can only be treated as an Investor and not as a Homebuyer. However, since investment was received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd, the claim is amditted in the category of Other Creditors. The amounts not received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd and the corresponding interest on the same has been rejected.
22	Prashant Agarwal and Neera Gupta	75,72,499.00	16,96,438.00	92,68,937.00	75,72,499.00	-	75,72,499.00		16,96,438.00	Based on the records available with the CD, it appears that the claimant is covered under the buyback scheme, wherein the Developer had assured the claimant a guaranteed buyback with some profit %. in respect of Flat 1111. Hence a claimant with the buy-back agreement can only be treated as an Investor and not as a Homebuyer. However, since investment was received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd, the claim is amditted in the category of Other Creditors. The amounts not received by Lokaa Developer Pvt Ltd and the corresponding interest on the same has been rejected.
	Total	81,29,81,257.00	7,47,06,803.67	88,76,88,060.67	43,86,26,608.20	-	43,86,26,608.20	-	44,90,61,452.47	-